

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,624	04/17/2007	Stefan Ingrisch	8417/87870	3716
22242 FITCH EVEN	7590 06/08/2009 TABIN & FLANNERY	EXAMINER		
120 SOUTH LASALLE STREET SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			LISTVOYB, GREGORY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/581,624	INGRISCH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
GREGORY LISTVOYB	1796	

CILE	OKT EIGT FOLD				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on Period for Reply	the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of sime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1136(g). In after SN, (f) MCNF15 from the making date of the communication. Failure to reply whith the set or extended period for only with by statule, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this earned partner three algorithms. See 37 CFR 174(b).	THIS COMMUNICATION. o event, however, may a reply be timely filed and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Novembe	r 2007.				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action i					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exce	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 4-11 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or electio	n requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or	b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is rec 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the co	ertified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) X Information Died, ours. Statem and (S. IPTO-SER)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/25/2007.

6) Other: ____

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/581,624

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, drawn to azetidine derivative;

Group II, claim(s) 4-5, drawn to method of preparation;

Group III, claim(s) 6-11, drawn to curing agent based on azetidine derivative.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The invention as claimed in independent claim 1 does not define a special technical feature distinguishing the claimed invention over the prior art. The azetidine derivative as claimed in claim 1 is obvious over, for example, disclosure of US Patent 5276166 to Swarup et al.

During a telephone conversation with Tim Levstick on 4/15/2009 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claim 1.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,624

Art Unit: 1796

Claims 2, 4-11 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the

Application/Control Number: 10/581,624 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swarup et al (US 5276166) as evidences by lab manual (http://www2.volstate.edu/chem/2010/Labs/Cyclohexene.html (11/27/2005))

Swarup teaches a substance, having at least two azetidinol moieties of the following structure (see Column 2, line 20):

$$R - \left\{N \right\} OH$$

Application/Control Number: 10/581,624

Art Unit: 1796

Where "n' is 2-4 and R is residues from Jeffamine D400 (the same diamine as used in the Application examined).

Claim 1 of the Application claims the following Structure (II):

$$\mathbb{R}^{1}$$
 \mathbb{R}^{2}
 \mathbb{R}^{3}
 \mathbb{R}^{3}
 \mathbb{R}^{1}
 \mathbb{R}^{1}
 \mathbb{R}^{3}
 \mathbb{R}^{3}

Where Z is Jeffamine residue and R1-R3 are Hydrogens.

The difference between above formulas is that the Application teaches azetidine derivative with double bond, whereas Swarup teaches azetidine derivative with secondary alcohol group.

However, it is known that at acidic conditions secondary cyclic alcohols can undergo dehydration, resulting in water and unsaturated Hydrocarbon.

As evidences by Lab Manual the following reaction takes place, when cyclic alcohol heated with an acid:

Application/Control Number: 10/581,624

Art Unit: 1796

Swarup teaches heating azetidinol with a polymer containing polycarboxylic acid (see Column 3, line 5) making possible dehydration of azetidinol.

Therefore, unsaturated azetidine derivative can be expected in Swalup's composition with high probability as a by-product of the Swalup's material.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREGORY LISTVOYB whose telephone number is (571)272-6105. The examiner can normally be reached on 10am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,624 Page 7

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James J. Seidleck/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 GL